UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
X

THE ROYALTY NETWORK INC.,

Case No. 07 CV 3067 (RMB)

Plaintiff.

COLUMBIA RECORDING CORPORATION, SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, individually and d/b/a SONY BMG SALES ENTERPRISE, BEYONCE GISSELLE KNOWLES, individually and d/b/a B-DAY PUBLISHING, and EMI APRIL MUSIC, INC., COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

ECF CASE

Defendants.

Plaintiff, The Royalty Network Inc., by its attorney, Anthony Motta, as and for its complaint against the defendants, alleges the following:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff, The Royalty Network Inc., is a New York corporation with its principal place of business at 224 West 30th Street, Suite 1007, New York, New York 10001.
- 2. Pursuant to the Sub Publishing/Collection Agreement (the "Agreement") entered into on July 21, 2004 by plaintiff and Westbury Music Limited ("Westbury"), plaintiff administers, in North America, the publishing copyrights of Westbury.
- 3. Westbury administers the publishing copyrights of certain works of Timothy Atack ("Atack") t/a Love Lane Music (UK) including the publishing copyright in and to the musical composition entitled "I'm Kissing You" (the "Infringed Work"). Atack is a co-writer of the Infringed Work together with the performer Desree Weeks, each having a 50% interest in the copyright in the musical composition.

- 4. Pursuant to the Agreement plaintiff, through Westbury, administers certain publishing copyrights of Atack in North America including Atack's and Westbury's interests in "I'm Kissing You".
- 5. The Agreement provides that plaintiff has the authority to sue in its own name and "to do and carry out whatever may serve [Westbury's] interests" provided that Westbury give plaintiff written authorization to do so.
- 6. In conformity with the Agreement, Westbury has given plaintiff full authorization to commence an action, on its behalf, in this matter.
- 7. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, Columbia Recording Corporation ("Columbia"), is a corporation incorporated in the State of New York with its principal place of business in the State and City of New York.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Columbia is a record company that oversees the musical productions embodied upon and manufactures, promotes and causes to have distributed, phonorecords that feature multiple master recordings and the underlying song writing copyrights embodied thereon.
- 9. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, Sony BMG Music Entertainment ("Sony") is a Delaware general partnership authorized to do business in the State of New York with its principal place of business in the State and City of New York.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Sony is a record company that oversees the musical productions embodied upon and manufactures, promotes and causes to have distributed, phonorecords that feature multiple master recordings and the underlying songwriting copyrights embodied thereon.

- 11. Upon information and belief, Columbia and/or Sony is the record company involved in the production, manufacture, promotion, marketing and distribution of a phonorecord entitled "B'Day Deluxe Edition" (the "Album") and of a phonorecord with accompanying DVD entitled "B'Day Deluxe Edition/DVD Video Anthology" (the "Enhanced Album").
- 12. Upon information and belief, Sony BMG Sales Enterprises ("SBSE") is an unincorporated division of Sony with its principal place of business in the State and City of New York.
- 13. SBSE makes available throughout the world and is a distributor throughout North America of music in a number of formats including DVD and video, Compact Disk, cassette tape and digital formats and distributes audio and video releases from, among others, Columbia Records, Sony, Epic Records, Legacy Recordings, Sony Classical, Sony Nashville and the Zomba Label Group which includes Jive Records, LaFace Records, SoSo Def Records, Volcano Records and Verity Records.
- 14. Upon information and belief, at all times herein relevant, SBSE has acted as a distributor for Columbia and/or Sony and commencing on or about April 3, 2007, Columbia and/or Sony and SBSE caused to have produced, manufactured, distributed and made available throughout the world the Album and Enhanced Album featuring the song," Still In Love (Kissing You)" (the "Infringing Work") and produced and disseminated a music video of the Infringing Work (the "Video") currently airing in rotation over the VH-1 and MTV Cable Networks, available for viewing worldwide on a number of Internet web sites, and embodied upon a DVD being sold as part of the Enhanced Album.
  - 15. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, Beyonce Gisselle Knowles

("Knowles"), is a resident of the State of New York.

- 16. Knowles is a Rhythm and Blues artist and the performer of the Infringing Work.
- 17. At all times relevant, Knowles has purported to co-publish the Infringing Work under the name: B-Day Music.
- 18. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, EMI April Music, Inc. ("EMI") is a Connecticut corporation with its principal place of business in New York, New York.
- 19. Upon information and belief, EMI is a music publisher that represents the copyrights of musical compositions and songwriters and at all times herein relevant has purported to administer and co-publish the Infringing Work.

# JURISDICTION -

20. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338.

#### VENUE

21. Venue of this case is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b) and 1400.

#### BACKGROUND FACTS

- 22. In creating and recording the Infringing Work Knowles has used the music, re-titled and added additional lyrics to the Infringed Work in violation of plaintiff's exclusive right to create a derivative work, under Section 106(2) of the Act.
- 23. The Infringed Work was part of the sound track of the motion picture entitled *William Shakespeare's Romeo and Juliet* released in the United States in 1996 and was included in a sound track album also released in 1996.

- 24. As shown below, at all times relevant, defendants were aware that they needed to obtain a written license from the publishers of the Infringed Work:
- a) On February 13, 2007 DMG Clearances Inc., on behalf of the defendants, sent an e-mail to plaintiff requesting a clearance to permit Knowles to use "interpolations" of the Infringed Work in the Infringing Work.
- b) After an exchange of correspondence between plaintiff and DMG, on March 3 at 2007 DMG sent a "confirmation letter and license request" to the attorneys for plaintiff, Epstein Levinsohn Bodine & Weinstein ("Epstein Levinsohn"), for its review.
- c) In response, on March 5, 2007 (a full month before Defendants Columbia, Sony and SBSE released the Album and the Enhanced Album), Epstein Levinsohn sent a counterproposal to DMG, and at DMG's request, sent it on for review to Knowles' attorneys, Goldring, Hertz, Liechtenstein, & Haft. The counterproposal set forth specific, narrow rights and limitations upon which plaintiff was willing to grant a license. For example, under the heading "Rights", the counterproposal stated "Mechanical rights for Album, only... No audiovisual rights are granted." The counterproposal also specifically provided that the territory of the license would be "United States, only", and that the specific title of the licensed composition was limited to the title of the Infringed Work (i.e., "I'm Kissing You").
- 25. Despite follow-ups by Epstein Levinsohn, at no time did plaintiff or Epstein Levinsohn receive a substantive response from Knowles's attorney or any of the defendants to plaintiff's counterproposal.
- 26. In or about March 27, 2007 plaintiff learned that defendants intended to proceed with the release of the Album and Enhanced Album containing the Infringing Work.
- 27. On March 27, 2007 Epstein Levinsohn sent a letter to Knowles' attorney and to Sony stating in part as follows:

"It has come to our attention that Sony may be preparing to distribute the Album containing the Composition under the title "Still In Love (Kissing You)". This is completely unacceptable to TRN. First, no agreement has been reached in connection with the embodiment of the Composition on the Album, and Sony has no right to proceed with the exploitation of the Album absent an agreement. Second, the re-titling of the Composition puts TRN at risk for claims from more than one third party, and this is a risk that Artist and Sony were or should have been aware of in light of the circumstances surrounding the discussions relating to this potential license. TRN hereby demands that

Page 6 of 13

Sony cease and desist from any distribution or other exploitation of the Album to the extent the Album contains the Composition under any title other than as previously released or under any terms other than as set forth in the Draft Agreement." (emphasis in original)

- 28. On April 3, 2007, Defendants Columbia, Sony and SBSE released the Album and the Enhanced Album in willful disregard of the express rights limitations set forth in plaintiff's proposed license, and despite having been put on notice of plaintiff's position through Epstein Levinsohn's cease and desist letter.
- 29. On April 3, 2007 Epstein Levinsohn sent to Knowles' attorney and to Sony a letter stating in part as follows:
  - "I refer to Mark Levinsohn's letter to you dated March 27, 2007 in connection with the above matter. Terms used in this letter have meanings set forth in that letter. It appears that Sony has proceeded to release the Album, with the re-titled Composition. In essence, your client and Sony have ignored Mr. Levinsohn's letter. As a matter of formality, any offer by my client to license the Composition to Sony for embodiment on the Album is withdrawn."
- 30. On April 6, 2007 Epstein Levinsohn sent to Knowles' attorney and to Sony an e-mail stating in part as follows:

"Apparently, there is a video of the re-titled composition currently airing on VH-1 and MTV. This video is also available as part of a DVD "Video Anthology" being sold at Wal-Mart with the album. These are blatant violations of the terms (now withdrawn) on which my client previously considered granting a license (the withdrawn offer expressly stated that "no audiovisual rights are granted"). In addition we must assume that the video is airing outside the United States, which is also a violation of a key condition for any license that might have been granted. In addition to the demands set forth in the previous correspondence, demand is hereby made that the artist. Sony and its distributors cease and desist from all exploitation of this infringing video."

# CLAIM FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

31. This is an action for damages, declaratory relief, and permanent injunctive relief and is brought by Plaintiff, pursuant to the Copyright Act and Copyright Revision Act, 17 U.S.C. §101, et seq. (the "Act")

- 32. Plaintiff has complied with all laws pertinent to the Infringed Work as a copyrighted work and a copyright in the musical composition of the Infringed Work has been registered with the U.S. Copyright Office on April 2, 2007. (A copy of the copyright registration is attached as Exhibit 1.)
- 33. Upon information and belief Defendants are the creator, performer, purported publishers, purported administrator, label, and distributor of the Infringing Work and have infringed the copyright in the Infringed Work in a number of ways including the following: (a) by substantial copying and public performances and by authorizing the copying and public performances; (b) the manufacture, distribution and sale (and/or authorizing others to do so) of the Album and the Infringing Work; (c) authorizing and/or licensing The Infringing Work for digital download; (d) by publishing and/or administering the Infringing Work and commercially exploiting the Infringing Work; (e) by causing and/or materially contributing and/or by substantially participating in and furthering the abovementioned infringing acts, and/or sharing in the proceeds therefrom, all through substantial use of the Infringed Work in and as part of the Infringing Work contained on the Album, Enhanced Album and Video. Further, such Defendants had the obligation, right, and ability to supervise such infringing activity but allowed the infringement to occur, and they had an obvious and direct financial interest in exploiting the copyrighted materials as set forth above. Accordingly, Defendants are liable for direct, contributory and vicarious infringement.
- 34. Upon information and belief, the Defendants have received or will receive advances, royalties and other payments for sale of the Album containing the Infringing Work, have received or will receive advances, royalties and other payments for the inclusion of the Infringing Work in

print editions of sheet music, have received or will receive synchronization royalties for use of the Infringing Work in audiovisual works and have received or will receive public performance royalties from performances of the Infringing Work, among other revenues sources known only to Defendants, without accounting for and remitting the appropriate amount of such royalties to plaintiff or providing for appropriate copyright interest of Atack and Weeks in the Infringing Work. Upon information and belief, Defendant publishers have received royalties for the licensing of the infringing work for digital downloads.

- 35. By reason of the infringement and threatened infringement of the Defendants, Plaintiff has sustained and will continue to sustain substantial injury, loss and damage to its rights in the Infringed Work.
- 36. Further irreparable harm to Plaintiff is imminent as a result of Defendants' conduct, and Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction restraining defendants, its officers, directors, agents, employees and representatives and all persons acting in concert with them from engaging in further acts of copyright infringement.
- 37. Plaintiff is further entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendants' acts of copyright infringement. Plaintiff is at present unable to ascertain the full extent of the monetary damage Plaintiff has suffered by reason of Defendants' acts of copyright infringement but believes such damages exceed \$150,000.
- 38. Plaintiff is further entitled to recover from Defendants the gains, profits and advantages it obtained as a result of their acts of copyright infringement. Plaintiff is at present unable to ascertain the full extent of the gains, profits, and advantages Defendants have obtained by reason of their acts of copyright infringement, but Plaintiff is informed and believes

that Defendants have obtained such gains, profits, and advantages in an amount exceeding \$150,000.

39. Alternatively, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. Section 504, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages of not less than \$750 nor more than \$30,000 for each infringement and in the event the court finds the infringement was committed willfully, for statutory damages not exceeding \$150,000 for each infringement.

### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- a) That Defendants be found liable for direct, contributory and/or vicarious copyright infringement.
- b) That Defendants be ordered to submit to an accounting so that all gains, sales, profits and advantages derived by Defendants from each of their acts, may be determined.
- c) For a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining defendants and all persons acting in concert with them from copying, reproducing, performing, manufacturing, promoting, advertising and distributing the Infringing Work, or performing any materials that are substantially similar to the Infringing Work, for the recall of all copies of the Infringing Work from distributors and retailers, and to deliver to the Court for destruction or other reasonable disposition all such material and means for producing the Infringing Work in Defendants' possession or control.
- d) For actual damages and Defendants' profits in an amount in excess of \$150,000 to be determined at trial, or alternatively, for statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. Section 504, plus interest.
  - e) For declaratory judgment that Atack and Weeks own the copyright in the Infringing

Work, "Still In Love (Kissing You)", and are entitled to royalties, including digital download royalties, based on such percentages or, in the alternative, that the Court declare their ownership interest in such work and order appropriate payment based on such ownership interest.

- d) For its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- e) For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York April 16, 2007.

ANTHONY MOTTA (AM-1648)

Attorney for Plaintiff
50 Broadway, Suite 2202
New York, N.Y. 10004

Tel: (212) 791-7360 Fax: (212) 791-7468

4

Document 1

Copyright Office has are cultified to change.
For content is a cuscomer Copyright Office which it was a copyright Office the Copyright Office the Copyright Office, are called the Copyright Office, are called the Copyright.



_	£1002
<b>€</b>	Form PA
	For a Work of Performing Ages
	UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE

PA 1-367-138

EFFECTIVE DIFFE OF REDISTRATION

4 Z (5) 7

	155940793		4 2 07
ingen City of The City State (state )	DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS	LINE, IF YOU NEED MORE SPACE, USE A SEPARA	TE CONTINUATION SHEET.
4	Tixle of this work Y	I'M KISSING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
,	FREVIOUS OR ALTERNATIV		
		ORIS Y LYRICS	
<b>9</b> a	NAME OF AUTHOR V	TACK	DATES OF BURTH AND DEATH Your Born YOU'S YOU'D HEAD Y
Milkon ·	Was file contribution to the work s "room made for late"?  If Yes  57No	OR CHARGE IN TORNALITY OR BOMICILE	WAS TRIS AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE WORK  Anonymous? Divis (2) No.   If the supplier to adopt the paradons in Twenty and adoption to the paradons in Twenty and adoption to the paradons in
NOTE	NATURE OF AUTHORSHIP B	tiefly complye nature of trusterial created by this mather in white	h copyright is claimed. 🔻
Under the law, the "author" of a work made for bire" to	NAME OF AUTEROR V	S'REE WEEKES	DATES OF BURTH AND DEATH YEAR BORD V
generally the amployer, and the amployer, and the amployer (see Inches). For any part of this was that was	Was this contribution to the work a "work made for hire"?  If Yes  Sy'No  No This was a professionation of	AUTHOR'S NATIONALITY OR DOMICELE Name of Centry of Domicilia in	WAS THUS AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE WORK  Anazymous UYes E No treated treatment of the contribution is the contribution of t
roude for him? about "Yes" in	NAME OF AUTHOR V	infly describe nature of material constant by this author to which	DATES OF BIRTH AND DEATH
Myour ton Aosk became for for other	Yes this contribution to the work a "work toads for inte"?  ☐ Yes	AUTHOR'S NATIONALITY OR DUMICES Name of Conference	Year Born V Year Diad V  WAS THIS AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE WORK ADDRESSMENT OF THE WORK OF THE WOR
loave (by apass for deter of birth and	□ No NATURE OF AUTHORSHIP Brit	Domiciled in	Peeudonymous? Tyes The Samudone.
	YEAR IN WHICH CREATION O	P THIS DATE AND NATION OF SIRST FUE of the property of the interpretation of the property of the interpretation of the property of the propert	LICATION OF THIS PARTICULAR WORK
A a	COFYRIGHT CLAUMANT (8) No. the endhor given in space 2 V IVES.	ne and address must be given even if the claims and in the number of IRULY MUSIC LIMITED  LTY NETWORK 176 1007	THE STATE OF THE S
	TANSFER If the claterant(s) record is nece 2, give a brief statement of how th	YOUK, NY OCK)  ters in space 4 in tere) different from the mathem(a) named in a chainsatt(s) observed overapphip of the copyright.	SB
b"	CONTRAC	TUAL AGREEMENT	PUNDS RECEIVED

MORE ON BACK | \* Complete all applicable spaces (numbers die) on the revises alde of this page.

\* Sign the family of this bag.

\* Sign the family of this b.

DO NOT WHITE HEND

	watters	rescussing AKI	EXAMINED BY	Marin Company	003
Amende Olivar	ed by C:O. per 4/5/07 emai it on behalf of The Royalt	1 from Remato	CHECKED BY	HEC	FOR
0,2	w on would of the Moyali	y wermork,	CONCENSA		
			CORRESPOND Yes	ENCE	F( COPY
					OFF
	CONTRACT MARKET AND THE COLUMN TH				ON
PREVI	DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE IF YOU OUT RECISTRATION the registration for this work.	KI NEED MORE SPACE, US	E A SEPARATE COM	INVATION SHEET.	······································
(j Yea (	QUIS REGISTRATION the registration for this work.  No Hypon mercer is "Yes," why to provide registration  is the first mubilities within as a year to provide the second s	or for an earlier worken of this we a being accepts of here acceptances	with alcowing been made in the	E Colinitation Offices	<u>inantilian katalah dalah dala</u>
		reservation and the state of th	ce ocox.) ▼ E your amphier 法?	is, do not check box A, B, or C	£,
P 🗀 YIM	Fig the first application ephynimed by this antion on copy,	tiala chiment.			Æ
If your on	s is a changed version of the work, as shown by space 6 o nower to "Yes," give Provious Registration (Version V				
WAR MET 15	described on the second second second	Year of Registr			
DERIV,	ATTVE WORK OR COMPILATION Complete bot og Muterial Identify any prescribing wrank or works that	th space 6s and 6b for a derivative	t work complete and As &	THE STREET SHOWS THE TANKS AND ADDRESS.	
***************************************	ng Material Identity any pretriating work or works that	this work is based as or incorpor	alep. Y	e entithisation	a 🏄
					. 🕊
-					See Indicate
Material /	hidded to This Work Give a brief, general statement of d	or marginal that has been added to	o this work and in which co	Outinht le citheres	betore comp bit space.
		- <del>1</del>		L) Grace se semmenti T	b
DEPOSI	PUR-COUNT If the inglatration fee to be in the charged to	o Detroit from a substitute of	Section of the sectio		
Ni <u>me</u> ▼		Account November	the Copyright Diffice, give: r♥	neare and muniter of Account	2
					a A
				<del></del>	網
CORRES	FONDENCE Cave made and address to which correspond	ordence about this application of	sould be sent Name / Addis	#/Apt/City/State/ZIF ¥	<del></del>
	GOTHE ROYALTY NETWOR	THIE LIVERLY	SAK TTOUR	or month	Ъ
	- The Troping	M 229 M.	50 TI [[#7]]\$	# 100/J NY/A)	y
Anna maria e -	211 225 1-	/	. У	. 1 9%	100
личи соци алу Блам	d skylline talaptione number (212) 1967-430	<b>∑</b> () Pex numb	" 1212 1967	-3477 /0	<i>(00)</i>
erentario Citarinio	ATION 1, the underlyined, burshy certify that I am t				
	envisors to the number of the make the state of the state of	Danather			4
	Check cayly pos	Downwas - Justine L	teknik Litara		
		Downer of exclusive at	ender (L)E(Bill	Y MARKIE LIA	<b>C</b>
	of the work identified in this application and s	Neman	author or other copyright chains	FIL OF CHARGE OF CHARGE STOPS A	
				<del>-</del>	
and or but	nied name and data V II this application gives a date of	publication in space 3, do not step	s and solverit it before that c	lete.	<b>-</b> ·
	FRANK	LIWALL	n Itate	3/20/00	
·	Handwillen signature (X) ▼	1 10 11	1/400	2100101	_
حر	In.	L Axell			
<b>~</b>	Control of the Contro	STATE OF THE PARTY			
ertificate	Control 29 May maked by England American	THE PERSON OF TH	ĸ♥゚〜スアトンペテーミアタ <u>メ</u> クと微硬 <u>イン5</u> 	TO THE PARTY OF TH	
प्रि फ़र्म	THE MYSH	TV RIETINDA	·	Complete of machinery species Sign your application is appear &	
adad in Indow	IIIV AUM	///VV/WUCK		SEP CLUST CONTRACT	
aced a see	FRANCIANSTRUCTURE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE		<del></del>	BALL SOUL SACHERS	F. 5
	224 11 31th	-ST STE IN	)7	Application form  Application form  Newton death hims los in check or m  the countries in Application (Application)	omay
this	CHUSSIANZER Y	-ST., STE. IOC	~ <del>f~~~~~</del> ~~	97 1 September Sections Application form Parameters from the product or re- der projects to Amprior of Occomputer Despoint recision.	Ottoly  Test by politica to straye, for females but, which the
nvelope this ddress:	224 W. 30th	-ST., STE. 100 1 10001	~ <del>f~~~~~</del> ~~	CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON	Ortholy  The late position as construction for the late position as construction for the late position and the